

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application N .

09/909,101

Applicant(s)

LANGBERG ET AL.

Examiner

Urmi Chattopadhyay

Art Unit

3738

## All Participants:

(1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.

(2) Jeremy P. Sanders.

Status of Application: Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2003

Time: 3:00pm

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *None.*

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e)

Claims discussed:

1, 46

Prior art documents discussed:

*Wilson et al. (USPN 6,569,198)*

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*During an updated search, the examiner found Wilson et al., which can be used to reject at least independent claims 1 and 46 under 102(e).*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)